

# *Declaration of Family Rights*

*That when a child is born, both biological parents have a **right** to know. A child has a **right** to both parents in their lives. Fit parents decide what is in the 'best interests' of their children. Good, average, & poor parents are Fit & Equal parents.*

*That you and your spouse have a **right** to be presumed Fit & Equal parents (equal in terms of both physical and legal custody).*

If anyone (a spouse, relative, social services) wishes to challenge these rights, you have:

- 1) The right to counsel.
- 2) The right to be presumed a fit parent, innocent, and deserving of an equal relationship with your kids.
- 3) The right to protection of a criminal jury. The "state" needs to prove you were a demonstrated serious and intentional threat to your child's safety and that you acted with mal-intent towards your children.

Supported by: *AKidsRight.Org*

Family Rights Materials – from AKidsRight.Org

Letter to Sen. Charles Schumer

from Karyn Maronde, Oct 21, 2013 - loveofpoms@gmail.com

My name is Karyn Maronde. I am writing a letter because I wanted people to know what having a child taken away from you does to not only you, but the



child! My son John has special needs and was taken away from me for neglect. I had him until the age of 7. He is now 16 and he is still in the states custody. I have always been able to see him whenever I wanted without supervision because he adores me and is so happy to see mama. This has devastated my life losing him, Not a day goes by that I don't cry. It has been 8 years now. The saddest thing is he hasn't been to the park, zoo, shopping, nothing since I had him! What quality of life is that?

I have requested taking him for the day but there is always some excuse as to why the social workers wont let him go! I am trained to meet his medical needs if required although it would not be necessary if I took him for under 4 hours. It saddens me that his love for the park, outside, zoo shopping has been taken away from him! It is the social workers who over exaggerate reports etc... that cause this.

They play God when they have no idea whats really going on. The Doctors lie and stick with each other as not to loose their license to practice. It is the children who suffer! The loving family they take away! I love my son more than anyone else ever could! He and I went to hell and back the first 7 years of his life with surgery etc... I know when something is wrong with him!



There has been things I have seen that doctors did not! I may have not known exactly what it was, but i knew it was something! I was right, they were wrong! John went into coma as the doctor was telling me I was an over reactive parent!!! He now has mental retardation and autism that I believe was caused by them not listening to me! But yet they get away with this! He is taken from me! How is this possible?

Why is this possible? If I knew then what I know now I would have fought with all I had! But I was uneducated on the legal rights etc.. I didn't have the financial means to do anything. I was powerless! John is the one who suffers! He has been in that institution 8 years !!! I plan on bringing him home with me when he is 18 where I will care for him for the rest of my life. When he is 18 they no longer have rights to him. But his childhood is gone! He will always be a child mentally but so many years were taken away from us!

My second story involves my daughter who is 28 years old and just gave birth to a baby girl. She wasn't supposed to be able to get pregnant because of cystic ovarian syndrome and has never used birth control. She has been on depression medication for many years and did not know she was pregnant.

When she found out she was 5 months along and the doctors reduced her meds accordingly. But when Fionah was born 6 weeks premature the hospital staff started questioning . The social workers got involved and Fionah was not able to go home with my daughter! If I wouldn't have been quick to find a solution in 1 day she would be in states custody!

My mother has her right now and my daughter stays with her and cares for Fionah. They tell my daughter if she doesn't complete what they ask her to within 6 months they will take her baby and adopt her out! Nothing is wrong with Fiona she is perfectly healthy! Why are the social workers allowed to do this? Why without any real proof you are guilty until you prove otherwise. Your life is thrown into chaos scrambling to meet the requirements for these people. Even then who knows what will happen.

I worry they will drum up something else and take our baby! There has to be some accountability from these social workers who cause family's to be torn apart from capable loving family's! Parent's deserve the right to fight for their baby's! But we have no rights when it comes to this! Something has to be done!

Sincerely yours,

**Karyn Maronde**

---

## Canadian Case Law – From: “Family Institute” familymen@eircom.net

In the case below the Canadian Judge believes that a **biological parent** can be deprived of their child, not because of any moral or physical failure on their part, which is the test in Ireland, but because the test they apply is NOT THAT A PARENT MIGHT BE ADEQUATE BUT that **another person might have more resources to do the job of parenting!**

Adequate adjective satisfactory or acceptable in quality or quantity ORIGIN early 17th cent.: from Latin *adaequatus* ‘made equal to,’ past participle of the verb *adaequare*, from *ad-* ‘to’ + *aequus* ‘equal.’

**The State has trumped biology. The State in Canada no longer does its job which is to merely recognize the biological reality of parenthood and instead proclaims it, the state, can determine who should be a parent regardless of biology. A child's right, acknowledged in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, to be brought up by its parents is rejected and totalitarianism is now evidently the dominant force in Canada.**

In the extract below the child is named as Ian, the biological father as Adam. The couple who the biological mother had given the child to is Linda and Dave Turner. Dennis Bueckert is a social worker who, for the last 13 or 14 years, has been preparing custody and access reports.

11) Linda and Dave resist Adam’s application. At the time of trial, Ian had been in their custody for almost eight months. They love the child as their own and assert they can offer Ian a better life than Adam. **Adam replies that he and Ruth (now his fiancé) can adequately provide for the child and, as the biological father, he should be given the opportunity to raise his son.**

55) Mr. Bueckert’s conclusion was that their [Adam and Ruth's] resources for parenting, although largely untried in Adam’s case, **appeared adequate to the task and that they had the capacity to successfully provide parental care.**

Conclusion

94) In his petition to seek custody of his child, Adam has displayed the protective instincts of a caring father and shown a willingness to assume the lifelong obligations involved in parenting. Adam and Ruth have forthrightly expressed their desire to care for the child and **have demonstrated they are adequate to the task.**

**Nonetheless, it must be said there are many unknowns. More pointedly, “adequate” is not the test for the determination of the best interests of a child.**

95) I conclude from all the evidence, without hesitation, that Ian’s best interests are served by granting custody to the Turners. **It is clear that they present an environment that will best provide for his health, education, emotional well being, opportunity for training and economic**

**and intellectual pursuits.**

\*\*\*\*\*  
Parallel citations: [2007] 5 WWR 740; 292 Sask R 206

Citation: Hendricks v. Swan, 2007 SKQB 36 (CanLII),  
<<http://canlii.ca/t/1qfl15>> retrieved on 2013-10-31

QUEEN'S BENCH FOR SASKATCHEWAN

Date: 2007 01 29  
Docket: F.L.D. No. 117 of 2006  
Judicial Centre: Saskatoon, Family Law Division

Citation: 2007 SKQB 36

BETWEEN:  
"ADAM HENDRICKS"

PETITIONER

- and -

"ROSE SWAN"

RESPONDENT

- and -

"LINDA TURNER AND DAVE TURNER"

THIRD PARTY RESPONDENTS

VERSION FOR DISTRIBUTION. ALL NAMES HAVE BEEN CHANGED EXCEPT THOSE OF  
COUNSEL AND EXPERT WITNESSES.

Counsel:  
M. Vanstone

for the petitioner

G. Curtis

for the respondent

D. Blenner-Hassett and R. Danyliuk, Q.C. for the third party respondents

JUDGMENT  
January 29, 2007

R.S. SMITH J.

**Geoffrey Schlakman**   [geof\\_s@bellsouth.net](mailto:geof_s@bellsouth.net)

John, I have been following your story for years now and I was very glad to see that things had finally gotten better, and that you have not been on an hunger strike or in jail for a very long time now.

I received your email and would like to take advantage of your offer to pass along my info to the Senator.

My contact information is:

Geoffrey Schlakman

Boca Raton, FL

[geof\\_s@bellsouth.net](mailto:geof_s@bellsouth.net)

In a nutshell, even though my youngest child is over 21, I am still being garnished \$3,000 per month in Child Support (on an income of \$90,000), plus about \$900 a month in interest on almost \$300,000 in arrears (see CSE Statement) plus another \$200,000 in other support related judgments. This is after the Court Ordered transfer of my 1/2 of the Marital home to my ex-wife. The NYS Supreme Court determined that \$163,319 was my portion of the home's value and ordered my ex-wife to use this to pay Support obligations, but as of today not one penny has of this money has paid any of my support debts. Amazingly, the NYS Family Court and NYS CSE say they have no jurisdiction over the proceeds from the marital home as that Court Order was from the NYS Supreme Court.

The table below is a summary of the financial situation from about 1 year ago, since that time I have continued to be garnished the legal maximum of 55% of my salary as well as paying for medical for the children. Unless I can get the Bankruptcy Court and the Federal Appeals Court to hear my still pending case this will never end for me and my children.

		<b>% of Gross Income before FICA, Medicare, Federal Income Tax and Court Ordered Medical Insurance</b>
<b>Total Demanded</b>		
Amount already paid in direct support	\$279,751.42	35%
Amount paid via transfer of marital home	\$163,319.40	20%
CSE Arrears (actual as per 4/1/2012)	\$278,804.41	35%
outstanding liens as sworn in Affidavit	\$113,000.00	14%
<b>Total Demanded</b>	<b>\$834,875.23</b>	<b>104%</b>
<b>Total Paid to date</b>		
Amount already paid in direct support	\$279,751.42	35%
Amount paid via transfer of marital home	\$163,319.40	20%
<b>Total Paid to date</b>	<b>\$443,070.82</b>	<b>55%</b>
Actual gross income over the period	\$802,969.53	
NYS Guidelines based on Actual income and the number of children under 21	\$166,478.33	21%

I will keep the attachments down to a minimum and will fully identify each below assuming you will be passing along this email with the documents, of course it is easy for NYS CSE to find all of my NYS Family Court hearings (Suffolk County), NY Supreme Court hearings (Suffolk County), Federal Bankruptcy hearings and my ongoing Federal Bankruptcy Appeal where I have brought NYS CSE into court based on the fact they they have demanded more than my gross income for the past 10 years and ongoing for the rest of my life due to the interest on theses arrears. My filings also asks why NYS CSE thinks denying me a passport for the past 8 years and again continuing until I die, is a fair and just punishment for not paying more than my gross income.

- 1/ Latest CSE Statement showing nearly \$300,000 in arrears
- 2/ Current list of 'other' support judgments totaling over \$200,000
- 3/ CSE 00a - Filing document in Bankruptcy Court against NYS CSE
- 4/ CSE 05 - letter to Mike Neppi, Assistant Attorney General NYS in response to his inquiry about this Filing
- 5/ CSE 06 - Details explaining the Complaint against NYS CSE

Finally, I am attaching a letter from the US Department of Social Services, showing again that NYS CSE refuses to review any part of my case, even after they were contacted by the Federal Government.

John, being honest I am truly expecting nothing from this and I am expending most of my resources down here trying to bring NYS CSE into federal court for a real hearing, but on the slight chance that someone in power finds this case beyond belief I am sending this your way. Again, I am happy that your life is being allowed to move forward and maybe one day all of us will be allowed to have the freedom do what is right for our families.

Thanks for anything you can do for me,

geof schlakman

**Robert Samery [rsamery@paawareness.org](mailto:rsamery@paawareness.org)**

Parental Alienation Organization (PAAO) was formed in 2006 by Sarvy Emo, a parent of 2 with a fully functional equal parenting plan. She became very concerned with what she saw happening to her boyfriends children through what she came to know as parental alienation. As a result she formed PAAO with the mandate to bring awareness of what at the time was a little known and not well understood form of emotional harm inflicted on children caught in high conflict parenting situations.

Through her dedicated determination to eradicate parental alienation, PAAO has educated hundreds of thousands of children, parents, mental health and family law professionals about the behaviours, results and remedies of this form of emotional harm to children. PAAO produces webinars, seminar, and workshops, appears at professional conferences and is the source of Parental Alienation Awareness Day, and the Bubbles of Love campaign both commemorated each year on April 25.

Robert came to be involved with PAAO through his first hand experiences with alienating behaviours. His 3 children's relationship with him was seriously harmed and severed. His perspective on shared equal parenting is that such a presumption would be a critical step to avoiding the conflict that a single party could impose in the battle to gain sole custody and access. When fueled by the potential of "winning it all" or "losing it all" in the context of sole custody, an emotionally unstable or at times normal range parent will see an attack to their character through the loss or potential loss of their ability to parent and become highly combative. In extreme cases kidnapping or killing the prize plays is the out in order to avoid seeing themselves as being the abandoned parent.

Equal shared parenting is a major presumptive in the battle against parental alienation along with therapy and general education.

**Don Mathis, the 14%er - [fourteenpercenter@yahoo.com](mailto:fourteenpercenter@yahoo.com)**

Dear John,

Please present my poem to the senator's staff.

Thank you!

**Children That Belong to Other Men – by Don Mathis**

There are too many men  
raising up children  
that belong to other men.  
Adoption is one thing,  
a court ruling is another.  
It takes a child away  
from his birth father.  
Sometimes the daddy  
of the mommy  
will have the little baby  
more often than the daddy.  
Wednesdays and weekends  
are not enough to see him,  
not enough to know him,  
not enough to show him  
the meaning of his kin.  
Or the child's step-father  
will have the step-daughter  
more often than he ought to.  
While the natural-born Dad  
grows bitter and mad  
that the little girl he had  
is living with that man.  
And then, there's the 'other.'  
You know, Mom's new lover.  
How I envy his time  
with that child of mine.  
I want all you guys  
to tell your wives  
and the women in your lives  
what may be a surprise:  
There are too many men  
raising up children  
that belong to other men.  
Give the kids a break.  
Don't hesitate.  
Give some time to Daddy  
before it's too late.  
A child without a father

is like an otter without water.  
To reach their full potential  
and self-actualize,  
kids need their Daddy  
and not some nearby guys.  
The growth that comes  
between a father and a son  
is a two-way street;  
it makes a life complete.  
No one can love a daughter  
like a birth father.  
It can do no harm  
to promote that bond.  
There are too many men  
raising up children  
that belong to other men.  
As a general rule  
kids are at school  
more often than  
they're in Dad's hands.  
Television by the hour  
has the children in its power.  
Or a drug is the drug of choice  
rather than a father's voice.  
The streets will soon become  
full of teen-aged boys with guns.  
And teen-aged girls with babies  
will wonder who are their kid's daddies.  
It takes more than  
courage to raise good men.  
How's a girl supposed to know  
if a boy is good enough  
to be a father to her child  
unless she had one while  
she was growing up?  
A good dad has values  
that a boy can choose.  
A bad role model  
is difficult to follow.  
A boy may holler,  
"I don't want to be like you!"  
Either way,  
they can have their say.  
But what kind of influence  
lies in father-absence?  
When father-figures and nearby guys

are all they've known all their lives,  
Is the consistency constantly there?  
Do the kids know who really cares?  
A Daddy does!  
Not a seed supplier.  
A Daddy does!  
Not a pay provider.  
There are too many men  
raising up children  
that belong to other men.  
A boy may see his teacher,  
a scout leader, or a preacher,  
more often than he can see  
his father's family.  
This is not right!  
This is unjust!  
Maybe okay for some  
but not the rest of us.  
I want all boyfriends  
to tell all girlfriends,  
and all second husbands  
to tell all women:  
There are too many men  
raising up children  
that belong to other men.  
Some women cause such alienation  
that America is becoming  
a father-less nation.  
These women will deny  
that there are fathers that cry  
for their children and they, for him.  
These women may wish  
they need a man like a fish  
needs a bicycle.  
They don't realize  
the men they criticize  
to their children are essential.  
They see nothing bad  
for a child without a dad.  
If Mom's boyfriend at the time  
is child-friendly, well, that's fine.  
But they have yet to discover  
what the future will uncover:  
There are too many men  
raising up children  
that belong to other men.

*This poem is © by Don Mathis.*

Cheryl Carlson: [carlsonalvn@yahoo.com](mailto:carlsonalvn@yahoo.com)

I want to lend my support to the cause, sent you separate email that has essay to use as you see fit, just attribute to me please. Realize as a dad you might rankle at the concern of women and kids being the focus of the family but with so many of the big wigs being men and dismissive of the emotions around all this it writes better as female issue. I am aiming for the strongest, straightest punch in writing.

Wanted to pass this site to you incase I haven't before.

<http://www.authorsden.com/visit/viewblog.asp?authorid=1402&blogid=57419>

Her book is worth while nonfiction.

Also great book of more academic nature is "Shattered Bonds" by Dorothy Roberts who is JD.. the bibliography is massively helpful. You will find this in the university library as I did. Both of these are good ammunition for your Dec 12 meeting. Please get this book ASAP, will give you such bibliography that you will be in best possible place.



7080 Hollywood Blvd. Suite 903 | Los Angeles, CA 90028 Phone: [323.462.8080](tel:323.462.8080)

Aginelo Productions' ***Romeo Misses a Payment***  
Opens in New York, London and Los Angeles December 13

*December 10, 2013- Los Angeles* – Aginelo productions announces ***Romeo Misses a Payment***, a powerful independent film chronicling the fall out of divorce and the decline of the family unit in America, opening Friday December 13 at [The AMC Magic Harlem Theater](#) in NYC, and [Regent Theater](#) in Los Angeles and at [The Prince Charles Cinema](#) in London.

Cutting through racial and cultural lines; *Romeo Misses a Payment* () is a hard-hitting look at just how wrong America is in handling divorce and child custody. Filmmaker Angelo Lobo showcases the inequities involving child custody among low-income groups, and how the system perpetuates a "one parent household" to the very children that need support the most. Skyrocketing divorce rates force the question... is there a better way?

Lobo exposes the loopholes in America's divorce system through never-before-seen footage of the complicated and often lopsided world of settlements and child custody. Biased custody hearings, parental alienation, fake paternity cases, and the woes of non-custodial parents in low-income brackets are bared in this incendiary but ultimately triumphant film. Seen through the eyes of those affected most, children, parents and extended families, the film also features the expert testimonies of attorneys, judges, and law officers who are caught up in the "business" of divorce.

Offering thought-provoking insights into the rapid decay of the moral fabric of American society and the spike in divorce rates worldwide, *Romeo Misses A Payment* forces viewers to consider that these issues may directly correlate to the gradual decline of the sanctity of the family unit as a whole in the divorce system.

###

Contact: Michele DiNardo, MLC PR 310.447.2412 [michele@monaloring.com](mailto:michele@monaloring.com)

Cecilia Zuniga, [www.theromeodocumentary.com](http://www.theromeodocumentary.com) MLC PR 626.818.8590  
[cecilia@monaloring.com](mailto:cecilia@monaloring.com)

